HENTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY FORM	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY TOWN NO.: SITE NO.: UTM: 18/_//// QUAD: DISTRICT: S NR: ACTUAL POTENTIAL
BUILDING NAME: Common: 49-51 Spring Street	Historic:
- 2 TOWN CITY: <u>Hartford</u> VILLAGE:	COUNTY: <u>Hartford</u>
3. STREET & NUMBER (and/or location): 49-51 Spring S	
4. OWNER(S): <u>Merritt Baldwin/ 1 Myrtle Street</u>	Hartford GT PUBLIC X PRIVATE
5. USE: Present: Apartments Hist	oric: Apartments
6. ACCESSIBILITY TO PUBLIC: Exterior visible from public roa Interior accessible:yes, expla	ain X_no
DESCRIPTION 7. STYLE OF BUILDING: Richardsonian Romanesque 8. MATERIAL(S) (indicate use or location when appropriate): clapboard asbestos siding clapboard asbestos siding wood shingle asphalt siding board & batten stucco aluminum siding concrete: type: dother: Quarry-faced brownstone foundations 9. STRUCTURAL SYSTEM: wood frame: post and beam other: other: other: other gable X_flat mansard material: wood shingle roll asphalt tin asphalt shingle _built up tile other	X brick fieldstone cobblestone cut stone: type: sills, door framing tor sawtooth d
11. NUMBER OF STORIES: 3 APPROXIMATE DI	
12. CONDITION: Structural:	fair deteriorated
13. INTEGRITY: Location: <u>Xo</u> n original sitemoved, when: Alterations:no <u>X_y</u> es, explain: <u>_Front_ent</u>	
14. RELATED OUTBUILDINGS OR LANDSCAPE FEATURES: barnshed garage X_other carriage houseshop garden <u>parkin</u>	landscape features or buildings: <u>Blacktopped</u> ng lot, adjacent to S wall
	ered buildings visible from site building density
16. INTERRELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING AND SURROUNDING Interstate 84 runs by the house approx. 500 ya opposite on east side of Spring Street, vacant	rds to east, 6-story office buildi lot north, 3-story, c. 1885 apart-

•

• `

17. OTHER NOTABLE FEATURES OF BUILDING OR SITE (interior and/or exterior):

49-51 Spring Street is a 3-story, brick apartment building built c. 1890. An attractive structure set on a raised, $\frac{4}{2}$ -story basement of quarry-faced brownstone, 49 Spring Street is a good local interpretation of the Richardsonian Romanesque style. The central entrance of the building is its most-developed Richardsonian feature. A Syrian arch of ashlar brownstone frames the door and is supported by stubby, clustered colonettes with carved, ivy capitals. Unfortunately, the original door has been removed and replaced with an inappropriate modern door. The Syrian arch, clustered colonettes, and the use of stone in combination with a different building material, in this instance,

SIGNIFICANCE Architect:

_Builder:

18. HISTORICAL OR ARCHITECTURAL IMPORTANCE

49-51 Spring Street is architecturally significant as a simple yet well-executed and identiable local interpretation of the Richardsonian Romanesque style. H.H. Richardson's Cheney Building of 1875-6 several blocks east of Spring Street on Main Street in Hartford provided the resource of one of Richardson's own works to which local builders could turn for inspiration. Certainly no rival of the Cheney Building, 49-51 Spring Street does illustrate the way such a masterwork became, through derivation and imitation, a part of the builder's idiom. At the time of the apartment block's construction, Richardson's work was still fashionable and the use of Richardsonian detailingwas a move to be stylish and up-to-date. With its earlier neighbor immmediately south, 39-41 Spring, 49-51 was one of the more substantial and elegant residential blocks on Spring Street, the two being the only free-standing structures, as well as the largest, at that end of the street, the remainder of which was built up with smaller rowhouses; several large homes were located north on Spring Street towards Garden Street.

PHOTOGRAPH photographer: Sarah Zimmerman	(con t.)
date: June 1979 view: negative on file: CT Historical Commission	Place
	Photograph
COMPILED BY: name: Sarah Zimmerman date: June 1979 organization: Hartford Architecture Conservancy address: 65 Wethersfield Avenue Hartford CT	Here
19. SOURCES: Baker and Tilden, <u>Atlas of Hartford City and County</u> , F Geer's City Directories for Hartford, various years.	lartiord, 1869.

L.J.Richards and Company, <u>Atlas for the City of Hartford</u>, Springfield, 1896, 1909, 1917.

20. SUBSEQUENT FIELD EVALUATIONS

21. THREATS TO BUILDING OR SITE:

👗 none known	highways	vandalism	developers	other:
renewal	private	<u> </u>	zoning	explanation:

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT HISTORICAL COMMISSION 59 South Prospect Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06106

HISTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY FORM For Buildings and Structures

CONTINUATION SHEET

Item number: Date:

17. (con't.)

brownstone with brick, are all distinctive elements of the Richardsonian Romanesque. In its other aspects of plan, construction and detailing, the apartment block is less an exponent of one particular style than it is a pragmatic and functional urban, residential structure with a dash of decoration for consumer appeal. It is, nevertheless, attractive and well-organized. A simple block, 49 Spring, as first built, was probably intended as a sixunit structure, with two apartments per floor, either side of the central entry and stairwell. An almost universal late 19th- and early 20th-century apartment plan, this configuration is reflected in the two shallowly-projecting bays at either side of the facade on the first and second stories: these three-window bays provide light for the main living rooms of each apartment. On the third floor, the bays are flat and topped with round arches; the center, stairwell window is also topped with a round arch. The remaining ornament is at the cornice, which extends above the roofline. It is flat, with corbelled parapets at intervals across the facade and a raised escutcheon in the center.

18. (con't.)

Historically, 49-51 shares a common lot and owner with 39-41. Both were built on the rear of Robert Allyn's house-lot, on which Allyn's house was located. Allyn, and his son, Robert J., were proprietors of the Allyn House, a 300-bed hotel nearby at the corner of Trumbull and Asylum Streets downtown in Hartford. Doubtless, the Allyns' management of experience with residential income properties played a role in the construction of two apartment blocks on their own house-lot. As the two properties are stylistically dissimilar (though in plan, they are near-twins, both being 6-unit, 3-story structures), and 39-41 is the elder, it seems certainthat the Allyns had 39-41 constructed first and, then, some five or so years later, built the block at 49-51.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
TOWN NO.: UTM: 18/_/ QUAD:	//_	/	re no.: -//		
DISTRICT:	5	NR:	ACTUAL POTENTIAL		